

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

United States of America,)	CRIMINAL NO. 3:11-686 (CMC)
)	
v.)	OPINION and ORDER
)	
Ryan Lamar Dixon,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

This matter is before the court pursuant to Defendant’s *pro se* motion for reconsideration “and for extension of time *pro se* pursuant to relief under Amendment 782.” ECF No. 141. The Government has not responded to Defendant’s motion.

Defendant seeks reconsideration of the court’s denial of relief under Amendment 782. *See* Order, ECF Nos. 139. However, pursuant to *United States v. Goodwyn*, 596 F.3d 233, 236 (4th Cir. 2010), “the clear intent of § 3582 is to *constrain* postjudgment sentence modifications” Section § 3582(c) “gives a district court one—and only one—opportunity to apply the retroactive amendments and modify the sentence.” *United States v. Mann*, 435 F. App’x 254, 254 (2011) (citing *Goodwyn*, 596 F.3d at 236); *see also United States v. Redd*, 630 F.3d 649, 651 (7th Cir. 2011). Accordingly, this court is without jurisdiction to entertain Defendant’s motion for reconsideration of relief under § 3582(c), and it is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina
August 26, 2015